UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,820	11/04/2003	Sung Uk Moon	244927US90	4464
22850 7590 11/16/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			DEAN, RAYMOND S	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,820	MOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond S. Dean	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	ugust 2007.					
·— · _—	action is non-final.					
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,11 and 13</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	. 🗀					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 22, 2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Petrus et al. (US 2004/0063406), hereafter Petrus, teaches a terminal that indicates the type of modulation being used (See Sections 0015 lines 6 - 9, 0032, 0033 lines 1 - 4, Table 1), which is also an indication of the type of demodulation being used (Sections 0047 lines 15 - 17, 0049 lines 6 - 7). A transceiver that uses, for example, QPSK modulation typically uses a corresponding QPSK demodulation thus the indication of the modulation type is also a further indication of the corresponding demodulation type.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this-title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 6 7, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Petrus et al. (US 2004/0063406)

Regarding Claim 1, Trossen teaches a radio communication system for performing multicast communication comprising: a reception ability value collector configured to collect a reception ability value of each mobile station belonging to a specific multicast group (Cols: 3 lines 35 – 39, 4 lines 6 – 11, 5 lines 20 – 43, 6 lines 4 – 24, Table 1); a transmission method determiner configured to determine a transmission method of transmitting information in accordance with the collected reception ability value (Col. 5 lines 38 – 39, modulation-coding schemes); a transmitter configured to transmit the information to each mobile station using the determined transmission method (Figures 1, 2); and a radio resource manager configured to manage available radio resources (Col. 6 lines 16 – 20, efficiently managing the frequency spectrum, which is a radio resource), wherein the transmission method determiner determines the transmission method in accordance with the reception ability value and the available radio resources, so that a mobile station equipped with a

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820

Art Unit: 2618

lowest reception ability can receive the information using the determined transmission method (Cols. 5 lines 20 - 43, 6 lines 4 - 24, 7 lines 60 - 67, 8 lines 1 - 13).

Trossen does not teach wherein the reception ability value defines at least one of a demodulation method, a reception buffer size, a number of bits or codes which a processor can process per one operation, an error correction method and an interleaving length.

Petrus teaches a reception ability value that defines a demodulation method (Sections 0015 lines 6-9, 0032, 0033 lines 1-4, Table 1, 0047 lines 15-17, 0049 lines 15-17, 0049

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen with the demodulation method of Petrus for the purpose of supporting variable data rate services thus allowing for changing propagation conditions as taught by Petrus.

Regarding Claim 4, Trossen teaches a radio station comprising: a reception ability value collector configured to collect a reception ability value of each mobile station belonging to a specific multicast group (Figure 5, Cols: 3 lines 35 – 39, 4 lines 6 – 11, 5 lines 20 – 43, 6 lines 4 – 24, 10 lines 1 – 4, Table 1); a transmission method determiner configured to determine a transmission method of transmitting information in accordance with the collected reception ability value (Col. 5 lines 38 – 39, modulation-coding schemes); a transmitter configured to transmit the information to each mobile station using the determined transmission method (Figures 1, 2); and a radio resource manager configured to manage available radio resources (Col. 6 lines

Art Unit: 2618

16-20, efficiently managing the frequency spectrum, which is a radio resource), wherein the transmission method determiner determines the transmission method in accordance with the reception ability value and the available radio resources, so that a mobile station equipped with a lowest reception ability can receive the information using the determined transmission method (Cols. 5 lines 20-43, 6 lines 4-24, 7 lines 60-67, 8 lines 1-13).

Trossen does not teach wherein the reception ability value defines at least one of a demodulation method, a reception buffer size, a number of bits or codes which a processor can process per one operation, an error correction method and an interleaving length.

Petrus teaches a reception ability value that defines a demodulation method (Sections 0015 lines 6-9, 0032, 0033 lines 1-4, Table 1, 0047 lines 15-17, 0049 lines 15-17, 0049

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen with the demodulation method of Petrus for the purpose of supporting variable data rate services thus allowing for changing propagation conditions as taught by Petrus.

Regarding Claims 6, 11, Trossen in view of Petrus teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 4, 7. Trossen further teaches wherein the transmission method is determined by at least one of a modulation method, transmission power, a method of organizing the information hierarchically, the amount of data, the numbers of

Art Unit: 2618

codes, an error correction method, the numbers of blocks, an interleaving length and a rate matching method (Col. 5 lines 38 – 39, modulation-coding schemes).

Regarding Claims 7, 13, Trossen in view of Petrus teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 4, 1. Trossen further teaches wherein the radio resource is defined by at least one of transmission power, the numbers of codes, the numbers of frequencies and propagation conditions (Col. 6 lines 16 – 20, efficiently managing the frequency spectrum which comprises the number of frequencies).

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820 Page 7

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean October 29, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600